This template was developed by Andy Hickner at Yale University for use in rapid reviews by General Practice Residency dentistry residents at Yale-New Haven Hospital.

1. Title (*Write this as a question*)

2. Personnel: Due to time and resource constraints, the review will be conducted by a single reviewer. The findings of this review will be limited by the lack of involvement by a second independent reviewer in study selection, data extraction, and assessment of risk of bias.

*(If you are working with a partner or as part of a team you can revise this)*

3. Rationale: *(Include background, cite any pertinent literature you have already read.)*

4. Objectives:
   a. PICO(S/T)

5. Eligibility criteria
   a. Inclusion criteria
   b. Exclusion criteria: Due to time and budget constraints, studies published in languages other than English will be excluded.

6. Information sources:

   The reviewer will search MEDLINE (via PubMed) and Web of Science.

   *(Edit this section if you decide to search different sources – e.g. Ovid MEDLINE, Scopus, etc. If you opt to forgo searching for unpublished literature, acknowledge findings will be limited by publication bias)*

7. Search: The search strategy will be peer reviewed by a medical librarian.
(Complete attached concept table; save your strategy so you can provide it later)

8. Study selection: The reviewer will screen all search results by title and (if available) abstract, tracking total number of records screened and excluded on the basis of title/abstract review. For the second round of screening (on the basis of full text) the reviewer will record the reason for exclusion.

9. Data extraction: The reviewers will develop a data extraction form and use it to extract data for each included study, noting any definitions used for each variable. (Sample extraction forms can be found by searching Google)

10. Risk of bias: The reviewer will identify and, if necessary, modify an existing tool for assessing risk of bias, and use it assess the risk of bias within each and across all studies.

(For randomized trials, consider the Cochrane tool for assessing risk of bias; for non-randomized studies consider the Newcastle-Ottawa scale)

11. Data synthesis:

(Dr Serling will provide guidance on expectations/methods for data synthesis.

Be sure to explicitly note any PRISMA items you intend to forgo.)

12. Conflicts of interest: (if you have any, list them here, otherwise “None”)

13. Acknowledgments: Andy Hickner provided consultation on the search strategy.

14. References (if any. I suggest using AMA style)